



Patent

Attorney Docket: 156925-0003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Corres. and Mail

BOX AF

In re the Application of:

Craig A. YATES, et al.

Serial No.: 09/858,157

Filed: May 14, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
WIRELESS VALIDATION OF
GAMING VOUCHERS

Group Art Unit: 3713

Examiner: Enatsky, Aaron L.

Final Office Action mailed:

April 6, 2004

RECEIVED
JUN 16 2004
TECHNOLOGY CENTERMail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.136
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 3713****AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION — TRANSMITTAL**

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment and Response After Final Action (37 CFR § 1.116), for this application.

☐ Applicant(s) petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136 [fees: 37 CFR § 1.17(a)(1)-(5)] for the total number of months checked below:

EXTENSION (months)	FEE FOR SMALL ENTITY	FEE FOR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$55.00	\$110.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$210.00	\$420.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$475.00	\$950.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$740.00	\$1,480.00
<input type="checkbox"/> five months	\$1,005.00	\$2,010.00

Fee

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(37 C.F.R. §1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

June 7, 2004

Date of Deposit


Connie Kwon

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
- ☐ Extension fee due with this Request _____.
- ☒ If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor
- ☒ **NO ADDITIONAL EXTENSION FEE IS REQUIRED.**

FEEES FOR CLAIMS:


- ☐ Applicant claims small entity status pursuant to 37 CFR 1.27.

The fees for claims (37 CFR § 1.16(b)-(d)) have been calculated as shown below:

Total Claims	12	-	34	=	0	x	\$18.00	\$0.00
Independent Claims	2	-	8	=	0	x	\$86.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims	\$290	(if applicable)					<input type="checkbox"/>	\$0.00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS								\$0.00
Reduction by ½ for Filing by Small Entity. Note 37 CFR §§ 1.9, 1.27, 1.28.								\$ 0.00
TOTAL FEES FOR CLAIMS SUBMITTED HERewith								\$0.00

- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is enclosed to cover the above fee(s).
- ☐ Charge Irell & Manella's Deposit Account No. **09-0946** in the amount of _____.
- ☒ The Commissioner is authorized to charge Irell & Manella's Deposit Account No. **09-0946** for any fees required that are not covered, in whole or in part, by a check enclosed herewith and to credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account **09-0946**.

Respectfully submitted,
IRELL & MANELLA LLP

By: 
Christopher A. Vanderlaan
Reg. No. 37,747

Dated: June 7, 2004

1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
Telephone: (310) 277-1010
Facsimile: (310) 203-7199

Customer Number 29000



Patent
156925-0003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Craig A. YATES, et al.

Serial No.: 09/858,157

Filed: May 14, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
WIRELESS VALIDATION OF
GAMING VOUCHERS

)
) **Group Art Unit: 3713**

)
) **Examiner: Enatsky, Aaron L.**

)
) **Final Office Action mailed:**

)
) **April 6, 2004**

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
JUN 16 2004
TECHNOLOGY CENTER P3700

Sir:

This paper, filed pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.116, is responsive to the final Office Action dated April 6, 2004. Claims 1-2 and 4-34 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 4-26, and 31-34 presently stand rejected over newly cited U.S. Patent 6,394,907 (Rowe). Claims 27-30 were previously withdrawn from consideration, and Claim 3 was previously canceled.

By this paper, it is requested that Claims 1-2, 4-12, 19-26 and 31-34 be canceled without acquiescence in the grounds of rejection, and new Claims 35-40 added. The accompanying Remarks focus on independent Claim 13 (from which remaining Claims 14-18 depend). New Claims 35-40, as further explained, are system claims generally corresponding to pending method Claims 13-18. For the reasons described in the Remarks below, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 13-18 and new Claims 35-40 are allowable over the newly cited Rowe '907 patent.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.